

TELEPHONE INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's participation in a telephone interview relating to this action on July 2, 2009 which involved Examiner Vaughan, Examiner Zia, David J. McKenzie (Reg. No. 46,919), and Rick Nordgren (Reg. No. 60,306). In the course of the telephone interview, proposed amendments to Claim 1 were discussed in view of the reference Parisien. The Applicants explained that Parisien fails to generate a new secret key in response to "determining that there is data to flow over the idle communications link **and** determining that the communication link has been idle. The Examiners and the Applicants disagreed as to whether the periodic key refreshes of Parisien inherently check if data is available. The Examiners stated that the proposed amendments overcame the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112. Applicants believe the discussion was beneficial for understanding of the invention by both the Applicants and the Examiner.